

\*PB 161645

R\_2132

Technical Mote

Mo. 144 ....

Boulder Laboratories

# DIELECTRIC CONSTANT OF LIQUID PARAHYDROGEN

by R. J. Corrugoini



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

# NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS Technical Mote

144

April 1962

DIELECTRIC CONSTANT OF LIQUID PARAHYDROGEN

bу

R. J. Corruccini

NBS Technical Notes are designed to supplement the Bureau's regular publications program. They provide a means for making available scientific data that are of transient or limited interest. Technical Notes may be listed or referred to in the open literature. They are for sale by the Office of Technical Services, U. S. Department of Commerce, Washington 25, D. C.

DISTRIBUTED BY

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
OFFICE OF TECHNICAL SERVICES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Price \$ .50

# DIELECTRIC CONSTANT OF LIQUID PARAHYDROGEN

by

### R. J. Corruccini

## ABSTRACT

It is shown that the available data on the dielectric constant of hydrogen conform to the Clausius-Mossotti equation within the probable experimental errors. The published data cover temperatures from the triple point (~14°K) to well above room temperature and a thousandfold range of densities. Using an average value of the specific polarization, tables of the dielectric constant of the liquid have been computed for temperatures from the triple point (13.803°K) to 32°K (58°R) and pressures from saturation to 340 atmospheres.

#### DIELECTRIC CONSTANT OF LIQUID PARAHYDROGEN

#### R. J. Corruccini

Introduction Capacitance measurements are used in liquid hydrogen technology to determine liquid level and apparent density. As will be shown, the dielectric constant is represented very well as a function of density by the well known Clausius-Mossotti equation. With the recent publication of extensive experimental data on the density and specific volume of parahydrogen it has now become possible to compute the dielectric constant for a wide range of conditions. This note presents tables of dielectric constant as a function of pressure up to 340 atm (5000 psia) and as a function of temperature from the triple point to near the critical point. The basis of these calculations will now be presented.

The Clausius-Mossotti equation can be written

$$\frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon + 2}$$
 v = p

in which

ε is the dielectric constant

v is the specific volume

p is the specific polarization, a property of the substance having the same dimensions as v.

To the extent that p is a constant for a given substance, the substance may be said to obey the Clausius-Mossotti equation. The equation is derived from classical electrostatic theory on the assumptions that the molecules are non-polar and spherical, there are no short-range interactions between them, and their spatial distribution is isotropic<sup>2</sup>. Hydrogen satisfies only the first assumption. However, errors due to the other assumptions tend to vanish as the density increases and are negligible for non-polar gases at ordinary pressures. More complete theories have been developed that are valid up to moderate densities, but none that are generally accurate at densities characteristic of liquids.

Thus, in applying the equation to liquid hydrogen, deviations certainly are to be expected due to violation of all of the assumptions previously stated except the first. However, it is found that all of the available data can be represented within the probable experimental

errors by a single value of the polarization, even though they cover a thousandfold range of densities. Now the available experimental data will be examined.

Liquid hydrogen. Of five known references, three are from Leiden. A reading of the most recent of the three (Werner and Keesom, 1925) and examination of the scatter of the various  $\epsilon$  and p values shows clearly that the earlier two (by Breit and Onnes, 1924 and Wolfke and Onnes, 1924) now should be given no weight. Measurements by Guillien appear to be comparable in precision and accuracy to those of Werner and Keesom. Both of these papers cover the range, 14-20.4°K, and the authors conclude that their data show no consistent variations in p that could be interpreted as deviations from the Clausius-Mossotti equation. To these should be added a value at the boiling point by van Itterbeek and Spaepen of apparently comparable reliability. Mean values of p obtained in these investigations are given in table 1.

In addition it is possible to compute the dielectric constant from refractive index data using the relation,  $\epsilon = n_{\infty}^2$ , where  $n_{\infty}$  is the refractive index extrapolated to very long wavelengths. Johns and Wilhelm<sup>8</sup> have measured the refractive index of liquid hydrogen from 14° to 20.4°K at wavelengths in the visible region. Guillien<sup>6</sup> has calculated the value,  $n_{\infty}^2 = 1.2288 \pm .0003$  at 20.4°, from their data. The corresponding value of p calculated using the specific volume of normal hydrogen<sup>9</sup> is shown in table 1.

Solid hydrogen. The data of Werner and Keesom disagree markedly with those of Guillien. From Guillien's work and similar experiences at this laboratory in measuring the dielectric constant of liquid and solid nitrogen, it is clear that discrepancies of this kind readily occur due to the difficulty of ensuring that the solid, which has to be cast in place in the measuring condenser, is free from voids. In order to obtain a meaningful test of the Clausius-Mossotti equation using a solid, either extreme care must be taken with the preparation of the sample, or else its effective density must be determined in place by an independent method.

Gaseous hydrogen. There have been many direct measurements of the dielectric constant of the gas, most of them near ambient temperature and pressure. However, Maryott and Buckley 11 in providing a "best" value at 20°C and 1 atmosphere have

based it entirely on optical and microwave measurements of the refractive index using the relation,  $\epsilon = n_\infty^2$ . Maryott and Buckley dismiss the direct measurements of  $\epsilon$  with this statement: "In a majority of cases, values of the dielectric constant measured at radio frequencies do not appear to be of sufficient accuracy to provide useful information for reference purposes." A value of p calculated from Maryott and Buckley's recommended dielectric constant using the specific volume of the real gas 9 is presented in table 1.

Of special interest to this inquiry are those few studies of the dielectric constant that cover wide ranges of temperature, pressure and density. The extremes of temperature are represented by the work of van Itterbeek and Spaepen 12 (20°-291°K) and Forro 13 (295°-571°K). Each of these authors obtained constant values of p within their experimental limits of accuracy. A more rigorous test is provided by the data of Michels, Sanders and Schipper 14 at 25° and 100°C and at pressures from 1 to 1425 atm. The maximum density achieved equals that of saturated liquid at 26°K. In spite of the great range of densities covered by Michels et al, the polarization showed no discernible trend with density or temperature. Their average value is included in table 1.

Summary of experimental results. The following table summarizes the experimental results that are regarded as especially significant. As indicated, some of the tolerances attached to the mean values of polarization are based on estimates of accuracy by the original authors. Others are guesses by the present author. In most cases, the stated tolerance is approximately equal to the mean deviation of several determinations. None of the investigators referred to the matter of ortho-para composition, and so it is assumed that the samples were normal hydrogen in all cases.

Inasmuch as none of the researches showed internal evidence of dependence of p upon the experimental conditions, the variations in p among the various investigations shown in table 1 cannot be construed as evidence for deviations from the Clausius-Mossotti equation. Parts of the discrepancies may be attributed to the use of different data for the specific volumes and to accidental variations in ortho-para composition. However, the discrepancies are too large to be fully accounted for in these ways, and in large part must be charged to undisclosed systematic errors in the experiments.

			T-1-1-1		
			rable i		
Arte de la companya d	Sp	ecific Po	Specific Polarization of Hydrogen	cogen	
Reference		State	Temperature	Approx. Range of Specific Volume	Ç
3			».	$cm^3/g$ .	3, cm / g.
Werner & Keesom <sup>5</sup> 1	1925	Liq.	14 - 20.4	13-14	** 1.010 ±.002
Guillien 6	1940	Liq.	14 - 20.4	13-14	0.9984± 002
	1942	Liq.	20.4	14	** 0.988 ±.003
helm <sup>8</sup> , 6	1937	Liq.	20.4	14	*0.9986±.001
	1953	Gas	~293	12,000	*1.0104±.001
Michels, et al	1953	Gas	298, 373	16-11,000	1.001 ±.002
	- 9° - 1				

\*From measurements of refractive index.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Based on estimate of accuracy by orignal authors

The degree of constancy of polarization that has been demonstrated for hydrogen is rather exceptional and raises the question of how well the Clausius-Mossotti equation might fit other cryogenic fluids. Bottcher  $^2$  (p. 209) attributes this result to hydrogen having an exceptionally small value of the imperfection parameter,  $\alpha/a^3$ , where a is the average molecular radius, and the "polarizability",  $\alpha$ , is given by  $P=Mp=4/3~\pi N\alpha$ , in which N is Avogadro's number, and M is the molecular weight. Conversely, the imperfection parameter is larger for carbon dioxide, and, accordingly, the polarization of this gas has been found to vary with pressure by about 2% in 150 atm. Values of these quantities for some cryogenic substances are shown in table 2. The trend of  $\alpha/a^3$  suggests that nitrogen and oxygen will show relative deviations from the Clausius-Mossotti equation intermediate between those of hydrogen and carbon dioxide, while helium should show even less deviation than hydrogen.

Table 2
Imperfection Parameters

Substance	P	10 <sup>24</sup> α	*a	α/a <sup>3</sup>
	cm <sup>3</sup> /mol	cm <sup>3</sup>	Å	
He	0.51	0.20	1.28	0.10
H <sub>2</sub>	2.02	0.79	1.47	0.25
$N_2$	4.3	1.71	1.85	0.27
02	4.0	1.59	1.76	0.29
co <sub>2</sub>	7. 3	2.89	2.1	0.31

<sup>\*</sup>Based on a collection 15 of values determined from second virial coefficients and viscosities. Those determined from second virial coefficients were given greater weight.

Tables of dielectric constant. A value of p of 1.00 cm $^3$ /g (0.0160 ft $^3$ /lb) is seen to represent the experimental results listed in table 1 within about  $\pm 1\%$ . This value has been used to calculate tables 3-7 using the Clausius-Mossotti formula,

$$\epsilon = \frac{v + 2p}{v - p}$$

and recently published provisional values  $^1$  of the specific volume of parahydrogen. The latter are estimated to be accurate within 0.2%  $^1$ 6 except near the critical point, where the equation of state used to represent the data introduced systematic errors. The deviations due to the equation of state were eliminated from the few values of specific volume near the critical point before calculating the dielectric constant. Consequently, the error in  $\epsilon$  is almost entirely due to the one percent uncertainty in p. The error in  $(\epsilon - 1)$  from this source is also about one percent, while the error in  $\epsilon$  is estimated to range from 0.15% at the lowest density to 0.25% at the highest. It should be noted that the densities at the higher pressures (below the stepped lines in tables 3 and 6) exceed the maximum density at which the dielectric constant has been experimentally measured by as much as 15%. The validity of the adopted value of p at these higher densities has not been established.

Table 3 Dielectric Constant of Liquid Parahydrogen vs. Temperature (°K) and Pressure (atm)

					- <b>, -</b>	•			
	32			1.1645 1.1757 1.1832 1.1891	1. 1942 1. 1984 1. 2021 1. 2055	1. 2114 1. 2165 1. 2211 1. 2252 1. 2290	1. 2357 1. 2417 1. 2470 1. 2518	1. 2563 1. 2605 1. 2643 1. 2680	1. 2714 1. 2747 1. 2779 1. 2809
(atm)	31		1.1621	1.1758 1.1839 1.1903 1.1952	1. 1995 1. 2033 1. 2067 1. 2098	1. 2153 1. 2201 1. 2244 1. 2284 1. 2320	1. 2385 1. 2442 1. 2494 1. 2541	1. 2584 1. 2625 1. 2663 1. 2699	1, 2733 1, 2765 1, 2796 1, 2825
ware ( ii) and Flessure (atm)	30		1.1734	1.1847 1.1913 1.1964 1.2008	1.2046 1.2080 1.2111 1.2139	1. 2191 1. 2236 1. 2277 1. 2315 1. 2349	1. 2412 1. 2467 1. 2517 1. 2563	1. 2605 1. 2645 1. 2682 1. 2717	1. 2751 1. 2782 1. 2813 1. 2842
(1) did	56		1. 1805 1. 1824 1. 1842 1. 1857	1.1924 1.1976 1.2021 1.2059	1. 2093 1. 2124 1. 2153 1. 2179	1, 2227 1, 2270 1, 2309 1, 2345 1, 2378	1. 2438 1. 2492 1. 2540 1. 2585	1. 2626 1. 2665 1. 2701 1. 2736	1. 2768 1. 2800 1. 2829 1. 2858
	28		1.1883 1.1897 1.1911 1.1924 1.1936	1.1990 1.2034 1.2073 1.2107	113 116 119 21	1. 2263 1. 2303 1. 2340 1. 2374 1. 2406	1. 2464 1. 2516 1. 2563 1. 2606		1, 2786 1, 2817 1, 2846 1, 2874
i .	2.7	1.1950	00000	1. 2049 1. 2088 1. 2122 1. 2153	1. 2181 1. 2208 1. 2232 1. 2255		1. 2489 1. 2540 1. 2585 1. 2628	1. 2667 1. 2704 1. 2739 1. 2772	1. 2803 1. 2833 1. 2862 1. 2890
, ,	97	1.2010	1. 2029 1. 2039 1. 2048 1. 2056 1. 2065	1. 2103 1. 2137 1. 2168 1. 2196	1. 2222 1. 2246 1. 2269 1. 2291	1. 2367 1. 2400 1. 2431 1. 2461	1. 2514 [ 1. 2563 1. 2607 1. 2649	1. 2687 1. 2723 1. 2757 1. 2790	
п	67	1. 2073	1. 2089 1. 2097 1. 2105 1. 2112 1. 2119	2222	1. 2261 1. 2284 1. 2305 1. 2325	10000	• • •	1. 2707 1. 2742 1. 2775 1. 2807 1. 2837	'
24	5	1. 21 22 1. 21 29 1. 21 36	1. 2143 1. 2150 1. 2157 1. 2163 1. 2169	222222222222222222222222222222222222222	1. 2298 1. 2319 1. 2339 1. 2358	1. 2427 1. 2457 1. 2486 1. 2513	1.2608 1.2608 1.2650 1.2689	1. 2760 1. 2793 1. 2824 1. 2853	1. 2882 1. 2910 1. 2936
23		1. 2174 1. 2180 1. 2186	1. 2192 1. 2198 1. 2204 1. 2210 1. 2216	4444			1. 2630 1. 2671 1. 2709	2882.	2 2 2
		1. 2216 1. 2221 1. 2227 1. 2233		1. 2307 1. 2328 1. 2328 1. 2349	1000	251 253 253 256 260	1. 2651 1. 2691 1. 2728	. 282 . 285 . 285	1.2914
21		1.2260 1.2265 1.2270 1.2275	30 30 30	1. 2343 1. 2363 1. 2382 1. 2382	1. 2418 1. 2434 1. 2434 1. 2450 1. 2481	1. 2510 1. 2536 1. 2561 1. 2586 1. 2631	1. 2672 1. 2711 1. 2747 1. 2781	. 281 . 284 . 287	4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4
°K 20		1, 2297 1, 2302 1, 2306 1, 2311 1, 2315	1.2320 1.2324 1.2329 1.2333 1.2337	23.23.44	2 2 2 2	1. 2535 1. 2561 1. 2585 1. 2608 1. 2652	80 9 6	831	oluno kolom the
, ,	atm	- 2 & 4 r	10987	20 25 30 35	40 45 50 60	70 80 90 100	140 160 180 200	220 240 260 280	300 320 340 Nata. Va

Table 4. Dielectric Constant of Saturated Liquid Parahydrogen vs. Temperature (°K)

T, °K	€	T, °K	€
13.803*	1.2503	23	1.2168
14	1.2497	24	1.2119
15	1.2467	25	1.2067
16	1.2436	26	1.2011
17	1.2403	27	1.1949
18	1.2369	28	1.1880
19	1.2333	29	1.1802
20	1.2295	30	1.1710
20. 268**	1.2285	31	1.1598
21	1.2255	32	1.1444
22	1.2213		

\* Triple point

\*\* Normal boiling point

Table 5. Dielectric Constant of Saturated Liquid Parahydrogen vs. Pressure (atm)

P, atm.	€ ,	P, atm.	€
1	1.2285	6	1.1865
2	1.2175	7	1.1794
3	1.2087	8	1.1720
4	1.2010	9	1.1641
5	1.1937	10	1.1553

	, <sub>2</sub>																	671	1742	797	1843	1882		226	2026	2100	4.7 7.4	122	88	45	2396	187	64	32	93	2749
. (1																		1.1	1.	1.1	1.1	1. 18	1.10	;;;	1. 2(	1. 2(	1.21	•   •	1. 22	1, 23	1. 23	1.248	1, 256	1. 26	1. 26	1. 27
ıre (psia	99														1, 1635	1. 1678	1, 1714	1.1782	1.1835	1.1879	1, 1917	95	1. 1979	1. 2031	1. 2070	1,2152	) an	1, 2259	1. 2321	1.2376	42	51	2	65	7.1	1. 2769 1. 2820
id Pressi	54		•										71	1. 1745	Ξ,	0	82	1.1873	1.1915	1. 1951	1. 1982	1. 2010	1. 2000	1. 2082	1.2160	1, 2194	1, 2225	1. 2293	35	1.2406	1. 2454	1. 2537	19	79	- 1	1. 2.189 1. 2839
e (°R) an	55											1. 1811	1. 1836	1.1858	1. 1877	- 1	•	1. 1951	1. 1984	1. 2014	1. 2042	1. 2007	1 2131	1. 2169	1. 2203	1, 2235	1. 2263	1. 2328	1. 2385	43	1. 2481	200	1. 2653	0 7 7	2 0	1. 2855
nperatur	50									1,001	1.1901	1, 1910	1.1928	•	•		•	1.2019	. 404	•	1.4097	1.2119 $1.2140$	1.2178	1, 2212	1, 2244	1. 2273	1, 2300	1. 2361	1. 2416	7	1. 2508		2718	1, 2775	2826	87
n vs. Tei	48							0.704	1.1909	1.1977	1.1704	1 2005	1. 2018	1 2030	1, 2043	1 2055	1 2081	1 2105	1.2103	1 2140	1.2169	1. 2188	1, 2222	1. 2254	1. 2284	1, 2311	1. 2336	1.2394	1. 2440	-1	2612		1. 2738	29	.8	. 2892
ahydroge	46						1 2036	1 2043	•	1 2056	•	•	1, 2083	1, 2093	1, 2103	1, 2114	1. 2137	7	1, 2178	1, 2,197	1, 2215	1, 2233	1. 2264	1. 2293	1. 2321	1, 2346	1.2370	1. 2425	2520	┙	1. 2635	1. 2700	2759	. 2812	. 2862	. 2909
Constant of Liquid Parahydrogen vs. Temperature (°R) and Pressure (psia)	44					1, 2097	1.2102	1. 2108	• .	1, 2118	1, 2123	7	14	1.2151	1, 2159	1, 2168	1, 2189	1, 2208	1. 2226	1, 2243	1. 2259	1.2275	1, 2304	1, 2331	1. 2358	1. 2382	2456		2546	. 7	•   •	. 2721	2779	. 2832	. 2881	. 2925 1
ant of Li	42				1, 2152	1, 2157	1, 2161	~	. ~1	1, 2175	1, 2179	1, 2187	1.2195	1. 2203	1. 2211	1. 2218	1. 2237	1, 2254	1. 2271	1. 2286	1.2301	1. 2315	1. 2343	1. 2368	1. 2392	1. 4415	1. 2487	.[~	. w 1	612	0	. 2743	~	85	တ္	. 2943 1
	40			1, 2203	1, 2207	- 1	•	κ.	1, 2222	1. 2226		٠.	1. 2244		~	7	2	7	1. 2312	N	1.2340	1. 2354	1. 2380	1. 2404 1. 2424	1. 2#20 1 2448	1.2468	1. 2516	7	.2	1. 2636	1. 2703	9		9	<b>-</b>	<b>-1</b>
Ä	38		1. 2249	1, 2253	1, 2257	•	•	1. 2267	1. 2271	7.	1, 2277	•	1. 2290	•			•	1, 2338	•	• •		1. 2390	1. 2414	1. 245/ 1 2458	1. 2478		. 2544	10	623	629	. 2724		. 2837	-		
Table 6 R	36	1.2294	1, 2296	1. 2299	•	1. 2305	. 230	•	1.2314	1, 2318	1. 2321	•	1. 2332			. 2349	. 2363	•	•	1.2401	1.2413	- 1	•		. 2508		Γ.	1. 2610 1	1. 2648	Q)	<b>(</b>	. 280	<b></b>			
T.	psia	15	20	30	40	50	00	. 70	80	06	001	071	140	001	100	200	057	200	350	00 1	450	900	200	800	006	1000	1250	1500	1750	2000	3000	3500	4000	4500	5000	<del></del>

Note: Values below the stepped line represent an extrapolation of p with density.

Table 7.

# Dielectric Constant of Saturated Liquid Parahydrogen vs. Pressure (psia)

P	T	€
psia	°R	•
10 15	34. 260 36. 603	1. 2329
20	38. 436	1. 2279 1. 2238
30 40 50 60	41. 291 43. 529 45. 400 47. 022 48. 464	1. 2168 1. 2108 1. 2053 1. 2001
80 90 100	49. 768 50. 962 52. 067	1. 1951 1. 1902 1. 1854 1. 1806
120 140 160 180	54. 062 55. 832 57. 424 58. 860	1. 1705 1. 1594 1. 1461 1. 1257

#### References

- 1. H. M. Roder and R. D. Goodwin, Provisional Thermodynamic Functions for Para-Hydrogen, NBS Tech. Note No. 130 (PB 161631), Dec. 1961.
- 2. C. J. F. Böttcher, Theory of Electric Polarization, Elsevier (1952).
- 3. H. Fröhlich, Theory of Dielectrics, Oxford (1949).
- 4. J. G. Kirkwood, J. Chem. Phys., 4, 592 (1936).
- 5. W. Werner and W. H. Keesom, Leiden Comm. No. 178a (1925).
- 6. R. Guillien, Rev. Sci., <u>77</u>, 575 (1939); J. Phys. Radium, <u>1</u>, 29 (1940).
- 7. A. van Itterbeek and J. Spaepen, Physica, 9, 339 (1942).
- 8. H. E. Johns and J. O. Wilhelm, Can. J. Res., 15A, 101 (1937).
- 9. H. W. Woolley, R. B. Scott and F. G. Brickwedde, J. Research NBS, 41, 400 (1948); RP1932.
- 10. H. M. Roder, unpublished results.
- 11. A. A. Maryott and F. Buckley, Tables of Dielectric Constants and Electric Dipole Moments of Substances in the Gaseous State, NBS Circular 537 (1953).
- 12. A. van Itterbeek and J. Spaepen, Physica, 10, 173 (1943).
- 13. M. Forro, Z. Physik, 47, 430 (1928).
- 14. A. Michels, P. Sanders and A. Schipper, Physica, 2, 753 (1935).
- 15. J. O. Hirschfelder, C. F. Curtiss and R. B. Bird, Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids, Wiley (1954), pp. 1110-1.
- 16. R. D. Goodwin, J. Research NBS, 65C, 239 (1961).
- 17. A. Isihara, Physica, 27, 857 (1961).
- 18. W. F. Brown, Dielectrics, Sec. B. II. c., Handbuch der Physik, Vol. 17, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1956).